

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 12/22/2003

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/161,753 09/29/1998		MICHAEL SUTHERLAND	024607-0022	8007
9629	7590 12/22/2003	EXAMINER		
MORGAN L	EWIS & BOCKIUS LL	CASIANO, ANGEL L		
1111 PENNSYLVANIA AVENUE NW WASHINGTON, DC 20004			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
WASHINGTO			2182	16

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

——————————————————————————————————————			Application No.		Applicant(s)				
· ·	no Action Summans		09/161,753		SUTHERLAND ET AL.				
Office Action Summary			Examiner		Art Unit				
The MAN INC DATE of this communication on			Angel L. Ca		2182	Idmoo			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply									
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status									
	sive to communication(s) fi	iled on <u>13 Fe</u>	bruary 2003	<u>]</u> .					
2a) ☐ This acti	This action is FINAL. 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.								
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Disposition of Claims									
4a) Of th 5) ☐ Claim(s) 6) ☐ Claim(s) 7) ☐ Claim(s)	4) Claim(s) 16-43 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.  7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) Claim(s) 16-43 are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.								
Application Pape	rs								
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.									
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120									
12)									
Attachment(s)					•				
· <u>=</u>	ences Cited (PTO-892) person's Patent Drawing Review closure Statement(s) (PTO-1449)			4) Interview Summary 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	(PTO-413) Paper Nor atent Application (PTO				

Application/Control Number: 09/161,753

Art Unit: 2182

## **DETAILED ACTION**

1. The present Office action is in response to Continued Prosecution Application (CPA) requested under 37 C.F.R. § 1.53 (d). Applicants request for filing a Divisional application.

2. Claims 16-43 are pending in the application.

## Election/Restrictions

- 3. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121: Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
  - I. Claims 16-28, drawn to a method for enabling a proprietary or standardized medical system, classified in class 705, subclass 3.
  - II. Claims 29-34, drawn to a medical image processing network, classified in class 709, subclasses 203.
  - III. Claims 35-43, drawn to a removable medium recording station, classified in class710, subclass 62.
- 4. The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

  Inventions I and III are related as combination and subcombination. Inventions in this relationship are distinct if it can be shown that (1) the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed for patentability, and (2) that the

-

Application/Control Number: 09/161,753 Page 3

Art Unit: 2182

subcombination has utility by itself or in other combinations (MPEP § 806.05(c)). In the instant case, the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed because the groups of claims recite a method for enabling a medical system (I) and a removable medium recording station (III). The subcombination has separate utility, such as providing a storage system allowing communication between different computer workstations.

- 5. Inventions II and I or III are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case the different inventions (I and III) and (II) are directed to different fields. Invention II is directed toward a processing network system. Inventions I and III are oriented to a method for a medical system and a removable medium recording station, which does not include a processing network.
- 6. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 7. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art because of their recognized divergent subject matter, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Application/Control Number: 09/161,753

Art Unit: 2182

8. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and the search required

for Groups 705 and 709 is not required for Group 710, restriction for examination purposes as

indicated is proper.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Angel L. Casiano whose telephone number is 703-305-8301. The

examiner can normally be reached on 8:00-5:30 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Jeffrey Gaffin can be reached on 703-308-3301. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding

should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-305-3900.

alc

December 17, 2003.

MFFRHY GAFFIN/

Page 4

PERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

TECHNOLOWY CENTER 2100